

Similarity Found: 19%

Date: Sunday, April 14, 2019 Statistics: 673 words Plagiarized / 2691 Total words Remarks: Medium Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Selective Improvement.

Prediction of Safety Stock Using Fuzzy Time Series (FTS) and Technology of Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) for Stock Control at Vendor Managed Inventory (VMI) Chamdan Mashuri13,\*, SuryonoSuryono12, and JatmikoEndro Suseno2 1Master of Information System, Graduate School, Diponegoro University Semarang Indonesia 2Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Diponegoro University Semarang Indonesia.

3Department of Information System, Faculty of Information Technology, Hasyim Asy'ari University, Jombang - Indonesia Abstract. This research was conducted by prediction of safety stock using Fuzzy Time Series (FTS) and technology of Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) for stock control at Vendor Managed Inventory (VMI). Well-controlled stock influenced company revenue and minimized cost.

It discussed about information system of safety stock prediction developed through programming language of PHP. Input data consisted of demand got from automatic, online and real time acquisition using technology of RFID, then, sent to server and stored at online database. Furthermore, data of acquisition result was predicted by using algorithm of FTS applying universe of discourse defining and fuzzy sets determination.

Fuzzy set result was continued to division process of universe of discourse in order to be to final step. Prediction result was displayed at information system dashboard developed. By using 60 data from demand data, prediction score was 450.331 and safety stock was 135.535. Prediction result was done by error deviation validation using Mean Square Percent Error of 15%.

It proved that FTS was good enough in predicting demand and safety stock for stock

control. For deeper analysis, researchers used data of demand and universe of discourse U varying at FTS to get various result based on test data used. Keywords: Stock, Prediction, Safety stock, Fuzzy time series, RFID, VMI. 1 Introduction Stock management is one of production process planning and controls whose purpose is to decrease total cost of stock material and stock level during lead time and acquisition cost. Management developing stock policy which can minimize operational total cost is the main purpose of planning and control. Stock management is an important factor in production process, one factor influencing stock management is demand prediction; demand fluctuation influences product stock and production activity greatly [1]. An important component of chained supply management is stock management.

Stock management can spotlight prediction mistake and decision policy depending on demand having potential to prediction mistake. Prediction having the greatest influence to final user's decision can be used to develop demand prediction concept which can give significant influence to the improvement of a company profit [2].

Demand prediction in control management and production suplly becomes interesting challenge to be researched because most of them work on data of time series as having been done to overcome problem prediction, like prediction in information system management, health care, economy prediction, selling prediction, budgeting analysis, stock exchange fluctuation, and business analysis, etc [3].

Fuzzy time series (FTS) can design problem of prediction having linguistic value with information having been long time. FTS also can use more observation in prediction having been applied to overcome non-linear. Based on theory of fuzzy compilation, FTS model came from Song and Chissom in 1993, FTS was used to predict the registration of Alabama University.

Chen presents new model by using simple fuzzy relation and simple arithmetic calculation [4-5]. FTS can predict product need for the next period and this prediction can be arranged based on time period needed. By integrating FTS to an information system to calculate ROP score of each product, the error average of ROP score got after being examined by using method of Average Forecasting Error Rate (AFER) was 7,13%.

Fuzzy times series can predict the number of stock needed in stock room, report stock availability, and give goods stock information so high economy efficiency is got [6]. Time series is an ordered time series arranged from quantitative individual characteristics or collective phenomenon taken from time period successively. To understand time series characteristic, many researchers have adopted, analyzed, and developed time series method whose final purpose is to find pattern or formula that can be used to predict the future [7]. Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) technology is one technologies used in supply chain management using modern. By using wireless technology, a company can track RFID tags easily without physical contact. RFID technology has been proven to be very useful in planning of production, transportation, and warehousing [8] RFID can integrate into company business process so that it is possible for every entity marked can communicate with all organization information infrastructure, so it can enhance information of supply chain.

In business technology process, RFID shows that it can operate in small and middle retail industry and can describe effect of RFID in business operation [9] Vendor Managed Inventory (VMI) has very significant benefit for supply chain and each company. VMI gives competitive profit to retailer related to higher product availibility provided by suppliers with the chance to increase production and marketing efficiency.

VMI can increase fulfillment frequency with a small number and decrease stock level for all involved in distribution and supply chain. VMI can optimize supply chain performance in which the producer is in charge to keep distributor's stock level. Producer has access to distributor's stock data and is in charge to order [10-11]. 2 Method 2.1

Data Acquisition This acquisition data process on application of safety stock prediction using FTS and RFID technology for stock control at VMI applies RFID censor technology which is censor detecting id tags put on the goods using radio waves and analyzed to be data time series stored at local database on microprocessor by using internet network, data of goods demand history is sent to web server and stored at online database then predicted by using fuzzy time series and used to determine safety stock. Data acquisition route is shown in picture 1.

Picture 1. Acquisition process route of goods demand data

Modelling by using fuzzy time series Predicting by using FTS model is method of data prediction using principles of fuzzy whose base is catching formula of long time data then used to project the future data. Modelling of prediction by using fuzzy time series has some steps as follows : Defining universe of discourse U until fuzzy set can be determined as U = [x, y].

Quindo food, period of 2012 – 2016, with 60 data as sample taken from scan tag id on goods using censor technology of RFID as in table 1. Table 1. Data of actual demand No \_Month \_Year \_Demand Number \_Fuzzification \_ \_1 \_January \_2012 \_382635 \_A5 \_ \_2 \_February \_2012 \_355766 \_A4 \_ \_3 \_March \_2012 \_325994 \_A4 \_ \_4 \_April \_2012 \_344349 \_A4 \_ \_5 \_May \_2012 \_362127 \_A5 \_ \_6 \_June \_2012 \_332272 \_A4 \_ \_7 \_July \_2012 \_344733 \_A4 \_ \_8 \_August \_2012 \_262136 \_A2 \_ \_9 \_September \_2012 \_371755 \_A5 \_ \_10 \_October \_2012 \_344931 \_A4 \_ \_11 \_November \_2012 \_360428 \_A5 \_ \_12 \_December \_2012 \_305567 \_A3 \_ \_13 \_January \_2013 \_398608 \_A6 \_ \_14 \_February \_2013 \_401103 \_A6 \_ \_15 \_March \_2013 \_410591 \_A6 \_ \_16 \_April \_2013 \_391991 \_A5 \_ \_17 \_May \_2013 \_373435 \_A5 \_ \_18 \_June \_2013 \_390023 \_A5 \_ \_19 \_July \_2013 \_415428 \_A6 \_ \_20 \_August \_2013 \_294396 \_A3 \_ \_21 \_September \_2013 \_417544 \_A6 \_ \_22 \_October \_2013 \_439641 \_A7 \_ \_23 \_November \_2013 \_422857 \_A6 \_ \_24 \_December \_2013 \_342836 \_A4 \_ \_25 \_January \_2014 \_366797 \_A5 \_ \_26 \_February \_2014 \_423950 \_A6 \_ \_27 \_March \_2014 \_463070 \_A7 \_ \_28 \_April \_2014 \_445420 \_A7 \_ \_29 \_May \_2014 \_452353 \_A7 \_ \_30 \_June \_2014 \_471585 \_A7 \_ \_31 \_July \_2014 \_327364 \_A4 \_ \_32 \_August \_2014 \_388073 \_A5 \_ 33 September 2014 459309 A7 34 October 2014 452508 A7 35 Nopember \_2014 \_425409 \_A6 \_ \_36 \_December \_2014 \_375814 \_A5 \_ \_37 \_January \_2015 \_339850 \_A4 \_ \_38 \_February \_2015 \_376973 \_A5 \_ \_39 \_March \_2015 \_376571 \_A5 \_ \_40 \_April

\_2015 \_371001 \_A5 \_ \_41 \_May \_2015 \_304900 \_A3 \_ \_42 \_June \_2015 \_361767 \_A5 \_ \_43 \_July \_2015 \_278754 \_A2 \_ \_44 \_August \_2015 \_430953 \_A6 \_ \_45 \_September \_2015 \_425458 \_A6 \_ \_46 \_October \_2015 \_453944 \_A7 \_ \_47 \_Nopember \_2015 \_394726 \_A5 \_ \_48 \_December \_2015 \_338991 \_A4 \_ \_49 \_January \_2016 \_287776 \_A3 \_ \_50 \_February \_2016 \_362668 \_A5 \_ \_51 \_March \_2016 \_440171 \_A7 \_ \_52 \_April \_2016 \_348626 \_A4 \_ \_53 \_May \_2016 \_339128 \_A4 \_ \_54 \_June \_2016 \_380019 \_A5 \_ \_55 \_July \_2016 \_203659 \_A1 \_ \_56 \_August \_2016 \_388847 \_A5 \_ \_57 \_September \_2016 \_423256 \_A6 \_ \_58 \_October \_2016 \_446611 \_A7 \_ \_59 \_Nopember \_2016 \_450331 \_A7 \_ \_60 \_December \_2016 \_309796 \_A3 \_ \_ Defining universe of discourse U until fuzzy set can be determined.

After actual data was calculated, so minimal and maximal score of samploe data was obtained (Xmin = 203659, Xmax = 471585). Based on that score difference, universe of discourse U can be defined as U = [203659,471585]. Dividing universe of discourse U with some data series u1, u2, ..., un, and calculate linguistic score.

Firstly, universe of discourse U was divided into ke 7 intervals which have the same size, using the following way: Xmin + interval length. Interval length= (Xmax – Xmin) / 7, for example, 153 + ((471585 - 203659) / 7) = 38275. u1 = [203659, 241934], u2 = [241934, 280209], u3 = [280209, 318484], u4 = [318484, 356760], u5 = [356760, 395035], u6 = [395035, 433310], u7 = [433310, 471585].

Then, we admit them as 7 linguistic scores , such as (Negative Big), (Negative Medium), (Negative Small), (Zero), (Positive Small), (Positive Small), (Positive Big) to describe variance of selling number. Based on that definition, 7 fuzzy sets A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A7, in which A1= (Negative Big), A2= (Negative Medium), A3= (Negative Small), A4= (Zero), A5= (Positive Small), A6= (Positive Small), A7= (Positive Big).

In universe of discourseU with the following scores: A1=203659, A2=248313, A3=292968, A4=337622, A5=382276, A6=426931, A7=471585. Fuzzification of score from history data. In the condition of membershipfunctions (MBF) and fuzzy sets as illustrated in step 3, actual score of selling number can be fuzzified with the norm : "if actual score of selling number is p and score of p on the interval Uj, so p can be translated as Aj".

Fuzzifying final score of selling number is based on the norms summarized in table 1. Calculating fuzzy score of selling number history data of product A, in each fuzzy set. Choosing base of model w which is very appropriate and calculating fuzzy operation. Defuzzification of calculation in step 5, and then, calculate prediction result. After the calculation of fuzzy was done, we need to translate fuzzy output, next, final prediction result was got. By using Center of Gravity (COG) method and equality of (3) (4) (5) so it got final calculation result as shown in table 2, and prediction result and graphic on application can be shown in picture 2. Table 2.

Data of prediction result Month Year Actual Score Fi (Prediction Score) January 2012 382635 0 February 2012 355766 382636 March 2012 325994 355767 \_\_April \_2012 \_344349 \_325995 \_ \_May \_2012 \_362127 \_344350 \_ \_June \_2012 \_332272 \_362128 \_ July \_2012 \_344733 \_332273 \_ August \_2012 \_262136 \_344734 \_ \_September \_2012 \_371755 \_262137 \_ October \_2012 \_344931 \_371756 \_ November \_2012 \_360428 \_344932 \_ \_December \_2012 \_305567 \_360429 \_ \_January \_2013 \_398608 \_305568 \_ \_February \_2013 \_401103 \_398609 \_ March \_2013 \_410591 \_401104 \_ April \_2013 \_391991 \_410592 \_ \_May \_2013 \_373435 \_391992 \_ \_June \_2013 \_390023 \_373436 \_ \_July \_2013 \_415428 \_390024 \_ \_August \_2013 \_294396 \_415429 \_ \_September \_2013 \_417544 \_294397 \_ \_October \_2013 \_439641 \_417545 \_ \_November \_2013 \_422857 \_439642 \_ \_December \_2013 \_342836 \_422858 \_ January \_2014 \_366797 \_342837 \_ February 2014 423950 366798 March 2014 463070 423951 April 2014 445420 463071 May 2014 452353 445421 June 2014 471585 452354 July 2014 \_327364 \_471586 \_ \_August \_2014 \_388073 \_327365 \_ \_September \_2014 \_459309 \_388074 \_ October \_2014 \_452508 \_459310 \_ November \_2014 \_425409 \_452509 \_ \_December \_2014 \_375814 \_425410 \_ \_January \_2015 \_339850 \_375815 \_ \_February \_2015 \_376973 \_339851 \_ March \_2015 \_376571 \_376974 \_ April \_2015 \_371001 \_376572 \_ \_May \_2015 \_304900 \_371002 \_ \_June \_2015 \_361767 \_304901 \_ \_July \_2015 \_278754 \_361768 \_ \_August \_2015 \_430953 \_278755 \_ \_September \_2015 \_425458 \_430954 \_ \_October \_2015 \_453944 \_425459 \_ \_November \_2015 \_394726 \_453945 \_ \_December \_2015 \_338991 \_394727 \_ January \_2016 \_287776 \_338992 \_ \_February 2016 362668 287777 March 2016 440171 362669 April 2016 348626 \_440172 \_ \_May \_2016 \_339128 \_348627 \_ \_June \_2016 \_380019 \_339129 \_ \_July \_2016 \_203659 \_380020 \_ August \_2016 \_388847 \_203659 \_ September \_2016 \_423256 \_388848 \_ \_October \_2016 \_446611 \_423257 \_ \_November \_2016 \_450331 \_446612 \_ \_December \_2016 \_..... \_450332 \_ \_ 3.1

Evaluation and validation of calculation result From evaluation and validation of error deviation toward fuzzy time series above, error deviation also has been tested by using variance of number of universe of discourse starting from 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 as well as data number starting from 12, 24, 36, 48 and 60 data so that the result got is shown in picture 3.

Picture 2. Graphic of prediction result

Picture 3.

Error deviation score with MAPE

4 Conclusion Prediction by applying algorithm of FTS done with variance of interval score toward universe of discourse and variance of data number can be applied to predict safety stock. It can be proven by testing result using data number of 60 and the average error score got was 15% measured by using method of Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE).

Prediction result accuracy is influenced by data number fluctuation, the size (small and big) of interval score of universe of discourse and minimal and maximal score of universe of discourse. References T. Suesut, B. Mongkhoin, Demand Forecasting Approach Inventory Control For CIMS, 1869-1873 (2004) J.D. Schwartz, M.R. Arahal, D.E. Rivera, Control-relevant demand forecasting for management of a production-inventory system, 4053-4058 (2008) B. Garg, M.M.S. Beg, A.Q.

Ansari, Fuzzy Time Series Model to Forecast Rice Production, 1-8 (2013) N.S. Bajestani, A. Zare, Application of Optimized Type 2 Fuzzy time series, 1-6 (2009) Y. Lin, Y. Yang, Stock markets forecasting based on fuzzy time series model, 782 – 786 (2009) S. Suryono, J.E. Suseso, C. Mashuri, A.D. Sabila, J.A.M. Nugraha, M.H. Primasiwi, RFID Sensor for Automated Prediction of Reorder Point (ROP) Values in a Vendor Management Inventory (VMI) System Using Fuzzy Time Series 23, 2398-2400 (2017) S. Hansun, Jakarta Stock Exchange (JKSE) forecasting using fuzzy time series, 130 – 134 (2013) T.M.

Choi, Coordination and Risk Analysis of VMI Supply Chains With RFID Technology 3, 497 – 504 (2011) H. Dane, K. Michael, S.F. Wamba, RFID enabled Inventory Control Optimization A Proof of Concept, 1-10 (2010) R.H. Rad, J. Razmi, M.S. Sangari, Z.F. Ebrahimi, Optimizing an integrated vendor-managed inventory system for a single-vendor two-buyer supply chain with determining weighting factor for vendor's ordering cost 153, 295–308 (2014) M.Xie, D. L. Olson, Modeling and Values of Vendor managed inventory in the Retail Supply Chain, .

465 - 470 (2006)

## INTERNET SOURCES:

\_\_\_\_\_

14% -

https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/pdf/2018/06/e3sconf\_icenis2018\_110 05.pdf

1% - http://ejournal2.undip.ac.id/index.php/bcrec/article/view/605

2% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224063123\_Modeling\_and\_Values\_of\_Vendor\_ Managed\_Inventory\_in\_the\_Retail\_Supply\_Chain 2% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/251910340\_Stock\_Markets\_Forecasting\_Based \_on\_Fuzzy\_Time\_Series\_Model

<1% - https://hbr.org/1994/05/making-supply-meet-demand-in-an-uncertain-world

<1% - https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0888613X16300408 1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224440393\_Application\_of\_optimized\_Type\_2\_ fuzzy\_time\_series\_to\_forecast\_Taiwan\_stock\_index

<1% - https://quizlet.com/109595005/test-bank-chapter-8-flash-cards/ 1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271465990\_Jakarta\_Stock\_Exchange\_JKSE\_fore casting\_using\_fuzzy\_time\_series

<1% - http://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1104&context=infopapers

<1% - https://quizlet.com/36995081/supply-chain-final-essay-flash-cards/

<1% - https://coinnounce.com/blockchains-supply-chain-management/

<1% - https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S111086651400005X

<1% - http://www.csjournals.com/IJITKM/PDF%204-2/Article\_11.pdf

<1% - https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417417300647

- <1% https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925753512002196
- <1% https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160791X18303361
- <1% http://www.labcompliance.com/tutorial/methods/default.aspx
- <1% https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Predictive\_analytics

<1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329833380\_Postpartum\_Blood\_Loss\_Measure ment\_Using\_Digital\_Image\_Processing

<1% - https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/ijccs/pages/view/scopus\_citation\_analysis 1% -

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261292821\_Optimizing\_an\_integrated\_vendor -managed\_inventory\_system\_for\_a\_single-vendor\_two-buyer\_supply\_chain\_with\_determi ning\_weighting\_factor\_for\_vendor's\_ordering\_cost

<1% - https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2002.tb01654.x